

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/964,777 09/27/2001 Danny Allen Davis 533958-005 3012 27805 7590 04/30/2003 THOMPSON HINE L.L.P. **EXAMINER** 2000 COURTHOUSE PLAZA, N.E. NUTTER, NATHAN M 10 WEST SECOND STREET DAYTON, OH 45402 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> 1711 DATE MAILED: 04/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			LN
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/964,777	DAVIS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathan M. Nutter	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	an .		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4, 6</u>.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a thermal energy storage compositions, classified in class 428, subclasses 402.2, 402.21, 402.24 and 403.
- II. Claims 14-20, drawn to a method for producing macrocapsules containing a plurality of microcapsules, classified in class 264, subclasses 4.3, 4.32, 4.4, and 4.7 and class 427, subclasses 213.31, 213.33 and 214.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the composition of Group I can be made be an extrusion process wherein the separate microcapsules may be extruded through an orifice through which a macrocapsule is formed surrounding the microcapsules.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1711

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with John Kane on 28 April 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 14-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Objections

Claims 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1711

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bryant et al ('801), cited by applicants.

The reference to Bryant et al ('801) teaches essentially what is recited and claimed herein at column 3 (line 20) to column 4 (line 30) and the paragraph bridging column 4 to column 5, including the particular phase change materials, including the noctadecane of claim 8. The microcapsule size limitations of claims 5 and 6 are shown at column 3 (lines 32-35). Further, note the claims of the reference. The binder is viewed as including the concept of the macrocapsule as herein claimed since there is nothing on the record to indicate any other interpretation, e.g. the structures appear to be essentially identical in scope.

Claims 1-4, 7, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Colvin et al ('222), cited by applicants.

The patent to Colvin et al ('222) teaches the concept of the instant claims at column 3 (lines 6-22) for the concept of the macrocapsulation by pouch of the PCM encapsulated materials, the Abstract which teaches the use thereof in garment materials as in claim 12, the passage at column 4 (line 47) to column 5 (line11) teaches the particular phase change materials, including those as employed herein in claims 7 and 8 and column 5 (lines 30-50).

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryant et al ('801), cited and for the reasons as set out above.

The reference to Bryant et al ('801) teaches essentially what is recited and claimed herein, as pointed out above. The binder is viewed as including the concept of the macrocapsule as herein claimed since there is nothing on the record to indicate any other interpretation, e.g. the structures appear to be essentially identical in scope. The reference shows the contemplated size ranges at column 3 (lines 32-34), which range embraces that as recited in instant claim 9. The reference is specific to a fabric and teaches at column 4 (lines 25 et seq) the use thereof in textiles, which would inherently include those recited and claimed as instant claim 13. as such, the instant claims would have been obvious to a skilled practitioner in this art at the time the invention was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 703-308-2443. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn April 28, 2003